

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eve Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

FILE:

Office:

Miami

Date:

MAR - 7 2000

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of

November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

Terrance M. O'Reilly, Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Associate Commissioner, Examinations, for review. The district director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966. This Act provides for the adjustment of status of any alien who is a native or citizen of and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959, and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The district director found the applicant inadmissible to the United States because he falls within the purview of sections $212\,(a)\,(2)\,(A)\,(i)\,(I)$ and $212\,(a)\,(2)\,(A)\,(i)\,(II)$ of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. $1182\,(a)\,(2)\,(A)\,(i)\,(I)$ and $1182\,(a)\,(2)\,(A)\,(i)\,(II)$. The district director, therefore, concluded that the applicant was ineligible for adjustment of status and denied the application.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

Section 212(a)(2) of the Act provides that aliens inadmissible and ineligible to receive visas and ineligible to be admitted to the United States include:

- (A)(i) Any alien convicted of, or who admits having committed, or who admits committing acts which constitute the essential elements of --
- (I) a crime involving moral turpitude (other than a purely political offense) or an attempt or conspiracy to commit such a crime, or
- (II) a violation of (or a conspiracy or attempt to violate) any law or regulation of a State, the United States, or a foreign country relating to a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. 802).

The record reflects that on July 21, 1989, in the the applicant was indicted for Count 1, possession of controlled dangerous substance (cocaine); Count 2, possession of controlled dangerous substance with intent to distribute; Count 3, possession of controlled dangerous substance with intent to distribute within 1000 feet of school; and Count 4, aggravated assault. On September 13, 1989, the applicant entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 4, and he was adjudged guilty as to both counts. The applicant was

placed on probation for a period of 4 years and driver's license suspended for one year as to Count 1, and he was placed on probation for a period of four years and restitution to County of Union in the amount of \$2522.87 and to victim in the amount of \$500 as to Count 4. Counts 2 and 3 were dismissed.

Aggravated assault is a crime involving moral turpitude. Matter of Baker, 15 I&N Dec. 50 (BIA 1974). The applicant is, therefore, inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Act based on his conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude.

The applicant is also inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act based on his conviction of possession of cocaine. There is no waiver available to an alien found inadmissible under these sections except for a single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana. The applicant does not qualify under this exception.

The applicant is ineligible for adjustment of status to permanent resident pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966. The decision of the district director to deny the application will be affirmed.

ORDER: The district director's decision is affirmed.